

ł		Apr 29 2022
Stephen Hoffman		Apr 29 2022
From:	ecomment@pa.gov	dependent Regulatory Review Commission
Sent:	Friday, April 29, 2022 8:52 AM	- 1001
То:	Environment-Committee@pasenate.com; environmentalcommittee@pah regcomments@pa.gov; Troutman, Nick; Glendon King; Franzese, Evan B.; IRRC	
Cc:	c-jflanaga@pa.gov	
Subject:	Comment received - Proposed Rulemaking: Safe Drinking Water PFAS M 7-569)	ICL Rule (#

CAUTION: \*\*EXTERNAL SENDER\*\* This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



## **Re: eComment System**

The Department of Environmental Protection has received the following comments on Proposed Rulemaking: Safe Drinking Water PFAS MCL Rule (#7-569).

Commenter Information:

E. K. Worthington (kworthing@aol.com) 10299 Lindale Ave Greencastle, PA 17225 US

Comments entered:

Dear Department of Environmental Protection Commissioners,

I am writing today to submit my comment for the record on the proposed amendments to Chapter 109 that will set maximum contaminant level goals and maximum contaminant levels for perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS). I am glad that the Department of Environmental Protection is establishing a long overdue maximum contaminant standard rule for PFAS specific to Pennsylvania. However, I urge the DEP to enact more stringent standards that provide equal protection for all Pennsylvanians' drinking water.

The maximum contaminant standards of 14 parts per trillion for PFOA and 18 parts per trillion for PFOS do not do enough to protect human health. A toxicological analysis by Cambridge Environmental Consulting recommended a standard of 1 part per trillion for PFOA and 5 parts per trillion for PFOS in order to protect young children rather than setting the standards to standards that only take into account adult exposure and possibly not even that .

It is the responsibility of this Board to take into account all Pennsylvanians, including young children and members of rural communities who do not get their water supplies from the Public Water Systems. As written, these standards would exclude private water wells. Nearly 3.5

million people get their water from water sources that are not connected to public systems. To ensure that private water users are not ingesting PFAS and to avoid burdening rural areas the cost of testing their own water for contaminants, the DEP needs to institute rigorous and regular testing for all PFOA and PFOS compounds in private and public water sources.

PFAS are called "forever chemicals," because they stay in the water that they pollute and can move through the fish and wildlife that take them up. PFAS also find themselves in the blood of individuals who have been exposed. It can be highly toxic even at very low doses and has been linked to kidney cancer, thyroid disease, pregnancy-induced hypertension and preeclampsia and testicular cancer. It is imperative that we act now to protect our communities against these devastating outcomes. This sounds to me like a large number of people could die early or have treatments that will be costly and painful.

I would like to see the protection of drinking water in Pennsylvania to be equitably distributed throughout private and public systems and for the standards to take into account the health of the most vulnerable Pennsylvanians. There are a lot.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

No attachments were included as part of this comment.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely, Jessica Shirley

Jessica Shirley Director, Office of Policy PA Department of Environmental Protection Rachel Carson State Office Building P.O. Box 2063 Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063 Office: 717-783-8727 Fax: 717-783-8926 ecomment@pa.gov